

IDNPDPWG₂

When - What - How

When ?

What?

CCs vs **gs** concepts and prolegomena

- **CCs** are “territory related” \leftrightarrow **gs** and IDN **gs** are generic and unrelated
- There is a list of territories: ISO3166
- Latin **CCs** and IDN **CCs** are just different “forms of expression” generated from a **same given territory**

What?

- **CCs** , either ASCII or other scripts, are natural members of ccNSO
- *a la* RFC 1591: “*The IANA is not in the business of deciding what is and what is not a country*”, **the ccNSO is not in the business of deciding what is and what is not a territory**. So we stick to the ISO-3166, the only secure way to preserve the concept of *what* is a **CC**.

What? Aimed to:

- Include IDN **CCs** as an effective part of the ccNSO
- Keep the concept of a **CC** as solid as possible
- **Decouple** the eventual necessity of many (tens? hundreds?) IDN **CCs** for a particular territory **from** the voting mechanism.

What? Results:

- Include IDN **ccs** as effective members of ccNSO
- Keep the right balance of ***One Territory, One Vote***, no matter how *populated*, how *big* or how many *different “forms of expression”* the specific territory have.

HOW?

<...>

Some alternatives, with ***pros*** and ***cons*** but, if we could agree on the “What”, the “How” would be certainly a much less difficult question.